May 15, 2019

Dear Dr. Campbell:

Please consider this manuscript, entitled “Have psychologists increased reporting of outliers in response to the reproducibility crisis?” for publication at *Social and Personality Psychology Compass.* This paper quantifies the change in reporting of outlying observations across the discipline of Psychological Science—which is a timely and important discussion to all psychologists given the current focus on questionable research practices and open science. We believe our paper would be of broad interest to your readers, especially in light of recent publications on the importance of transparency in reporting practices. Additionally, we follow in line with APA’s focus on reproducibility and have published a completely reproducible manuscript on the Open Science Framework.

This study did not require IRB approval because it was a retrospective review of the literature review, and we did not undertake any original data collection. All our research practices were in compliance with the Standard 8 of the American Psychological Association’s Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct. My co-authors and I have no industry affiliations, and we have no conflicts of interest to disclose. There is no overlap between the contents of this manuscript and any other published materials or materials in press. This manuscript is not under review at any other journal. All authors have seen and approved this version of the manuscript.

Please let us know if there is any additional information we can provide and address all correspondence concerning this manuscript to me at kvalentine2@mgh.harvard.edu. Thank you for considering our submission.

Sincerely,

KD Valentine, Erin M. Buchanan, Arielle Cunningham, Tabetha Hopke, Addie Wikowsky, and Haley Wilson